| anuary | | p CES Evaluation Reporting | | | | | | | | |
|---------|---------|--|------|----------|--------|------------|-----|----------|------|---------|
| Section | Section | | Maui | | Big Is | Big Island | | nuai | СоС | |
| 4.4 | | Section 1: BNL Characteristics | | | | | | | | |
| 1.1 | | Total BNL Records at the End of the Reporting Period: 1-31-2020 | | | | | | | | |
| | 1.1 | 1. Single - PSH Priority | 12 | 7.50 % | 42 | 18.18 % | 1 | 2.86 % | 55 | 12.91 % |
| | 1.1 | 2. Single - RRH Priority | 52 | 32.50 % | 91 | 39.39 % | 16 | 45.71 % | 159 | 37.32 % |
| | 1.1 | 3. Single - TH Priority | 49 | 30.63 % | 58 | 25.11 % | 13 | 37.14 % | 120 | 28.17 9 |
| | 1.1 | 4. Family - PSH Priority | 7 | 4.38 % | 5 | 2.16 % | 0 | 0.00 % | 12 | 2.82 9 |
| | 1.1 | 5. Family - RRH Priority | 24 | 15.00 % | 20 | 8.66 % | 0 | 0.00 % | 44 | 10.33 9 |
| | 1.1 | 6. Family - TH Priority | 16 | 10.00 % | 14 | 6.06 % | 5 | 14.29 % | 35 | 8.22 |
| | 1.1 | 7. Youth - PSH Priority | 0 | 0.00 % | 0 | 0.00 % | 0 | 0.00 % | 0 | 0.00 |
| | 1.1 | 8. Youth - RRH Priority | 0 | 0.00 % | 1 | 0.43 % | 0 | 0.00 % | 1 | 0.23 |
| | 1.1 | 9. Youth - TH Priority | 0 | 0.00 % | 0 | 0.00 % | 0 | 0.00 % | 0 | 0.00 |
| | Total | | 160 | 100.00% | 231 | 100.00% | 35 | 100.00% | 426 | 100.00 |
| 1.2 | | Subpopulations | | | | | | | | |
| | 1.2 | 1. Veterans (self-reported) | 11 | 6.88 % | 13 | 5.63 % | 1 | 2.86 % | 25 | 5.87 9 |
| | 1.2 | 2. Chronically Homeless (self-reported VI-SPDAT or HUD) | 71 | 44.38 % | 113 | 48.92 % | 24 | 68.57 % | 208 | 48.83 9 |
| | 1.2 | 3. Currently Fleeing a DV Situation (self-reported from HUD | 22 | 13.75 % | 28 | 12.12 % | 5 | 14.29 % | 55 | 12.91 9 |
| | | PSDE 4.11 in most recent assessment) | | | | | | | | |
| | 1.2 | 4. Family Individuals (SUM(HHSize) from Family BNL's HoH) | 160 | | 139 | | 21 | | 320 | |
| | 1.2 | 5. Avg. BNL Family Size | 3.4 | | 3.56 | | 4.2 | | 3.52 | |
| 1.3 | 1.2 | | 3.4 | | 3.30 | | 4.2 | | 3.52 | |
| 1.3 | | Longest Homeless History (LHH) - Based on Client's 1st Intake Date in the System | | | | | | | | |
| | 1.3 | 1. 10 years or greater (LHH = 1 on BNL) | 49 | 30.63 % | 48 | 20.78 % | 10 | 28.57 % | 107 | 25.12 % |
| | 1.3 | 2. 6-9 years (LHH = 2 on BNL) | 8 | 5.00 % | 28 | 12.12 % | 2 | 5.71 % | 38 | 8.92 % |
| | 1.3 | 3. 5 or fewer years (LHH = 3 on BNL) | 103 | 64.38 % | 155 | 67.10 % | 23 | | 281 | 65.96 |
| | Total | , and the same of | 160 | 100.00% | 231 | 100.00% | 35 | | 426 | 100.009 |
| 1.4 | | Emergency Services Utilization within 6 Months from Most | | | | | | | | |
| | | Recent VISPDAT | | | | | | | | |
| | 1.4 | 1. 5+ episodes of emergency services utilization (= 1 on BNL) | 43 | 26.88 % | 51 | 22.08 % | 5 | 14.29 % | 99 | 23.24 % |
| | 4.4 | 2.4.4 minutes (a service serv | 00 | 00.00.04 | 0.4 | 00.00.00 | 40 | 07.110/ | 407 | 00.00.0 |
| | 1.4 | 2. 1-4 episodes of emergency services utilization (= 2 on BNL) | 63 | 39.38 % | 91 | 39.39 % | 13 | 37.14 % | 167 | 39.20 % |
| | 1.4 | 3. No emergency services utilization (= 3 on BNL) | 54 | 33.75 % | 89 | 38.53 % | 17 | 48.57 % | 160 | 37.56 % |
| | Total | o. No emergency services diffization (= 5 on bite) | 160 | 100.00% | 231 | 100.00% | 35 | | 426 | |
| 1.5 | Total | VI-SPDAT Consent Rate | 100 | 100.0070 | 201 | 100.0070 | | 100.0070 | 720 | 100.007 |
| | 1.5 | 1. Shared | 159 | 99.38 % | 228 | 98.70 % | 35 | 100.00 % | 422 | 99.06 % |
| | | 2. Not Shared | 1 | 0.63 % | 3 | 1.30 % | 0 | | 4 | 0.94 % |
| | Total | 2.1101 Ghard | 160 | | 231 | 100.00% | 35 | | 426 | |
| 1.6 | - Total | Document Readiness | 100 | 10010070 | | 10010070 | | 10010070 | 120 | 100.007 |
| 1.0 | 1.6 | Chronic Homeless Verification (% based on 1.2.2) | 22 | 30.99 % | 52 | 46.02 % | 1 | 4.17 % | 75 | 36.06 % |
| | | 2. DD214 (% based on 1.2.1) | 4 | 36.36 % | 4 | 30.77 % | 0 | | 8 | 32.00 % |
| | 1.6 | 3. Photo ID (% based on Total in 1.1) | 149 | 93.13 % | 165 | 71.43 % | 31 | | 345 | |
| | 1.6 | 4. Social Security Card (% based on Total in 1.1) | 140 | 87.50 % | 154 | 66.67 % | 28 | | 322 | 75.59 % |
| | 1.6 | 5. Photo ID and Social Security Card (# and % based on | 83 | 87.37 % | 97 | 61.01 % | 13 | | 193 | |
| | 1.0 | PSH/RRH records in 1.1) | | 01.01.70 | | 01.01 70 | | 70 | .00 | , ,, , |
| 1.7 | | BNL Referral Status (from Most Recent Referral) | | | | | | | | |
| | 1.7 | 1. Unassigned | 107 | 66.88 % | 85 | 36.80 % | 21 | 60.00 % | 213 | 50.00 % |
| | 1.7 | 2. Assigned | 8 | 5.00 % | 7 | 3.03 % | 4 | 11.43 % | 19 | 4.46 % |
| | 1.7 | 3. Matched | 0 | 0.00 % | 0 | 0.00 % | 0 | 0.00 % | 0 | 0.00 % |
| | 1.7 | 4. Placed/Housed | 32 | 20.00 % | 28 | 12.12 % | 5 | 14.29 % | 65 | 15.26 % |
| | 1.7 | 5. Pending | 0 | 0.00 % | 0 | 0.00 % | 0 | 0.00 % | 0 | 0.00 % |
| | 1.7 | 6. Number of BNL records not yet referred | 13 | 8.13 % | 111 | 48.05 % | 5 | 14.29 % | 129 | 30.28 % |
| | Total | | 160 | 100.00% | 231 | 100.00% | 35 | 100.00% | 426 | 100.009 |
| 1.8 | | Assigned Referrals BNL Prioritization Category (from Most | | | | | | | | |
| | | Recent Referral) | | | | | | | | |
| | 1.8 | 1. Single - PSH Priority | 1 | 12.50 % | 0 | 0.00 % | 0 | 0.00 /0 | 1 | 5.26 9 |
| | 1.8 | 2. Single - RRH Priority | 2 | 25.00 % | 1 | 14.29 % | 2 | | 5 | |
| | 1.8 | 3. Single - TH Priority | 3 | 37.50 % | 2 | 28.57 % | 2 | | 7 | 36.84 9 |
| | 1.8 | 4. Family - PSH Priority | 1 | 12.50 % | 0 | 0.00 % | 0 | | 1 | |
| | 1.8 | 5. Family - RRH Priority | 1 | 12.50 % | 2 | | 0 | | 3 | |
| | 1.8 | 6. Family - TH Priority | 0 | 0.00 % | 2 | 28.57 % | 0 | 4.44 | 2 | |
| | 1.8 | 7. Youth - PSH Priority | 0 | 0.00 % | 0 | 0.00 % | 0 | | 0 | |
| | 1.8 | 8. Youth - RRH Priority | 0 | 0.00 % | 0 | 0.00 % | 0 | 7.77 | 0 | |
| | 1.8 | 9. Youth - TH Priority | 0 | 0.00 % | 0 | 0.00 % | 0 | 0.00 % | 0 | 0.00 |
| | Total | | 8 | 100.00% | 7 | 100.00% | 4 | 100.00% | 19 | 100.00 |
| 1.9 | | Enrollment Coverage | | | | | | | | |
| | 1.9 | Number of BNL records with active non-VI-SPDAT | 29 | 18.13 % | 35 | 15.15 % | 0 | 0.00 % | 64 | 15.02 9 |

| | | p CES Evaluation Reporting | | | | | | | | |
|---------|---------------|--|---------|----------|---------|----------|-------|----------|---------|-------|
| anuary | / 2020 | | | | | | | | | |
| Section | Section | | Ma | aui | Big I | sland | Ka | auai | Co | оС |
| 2 | Cooulon | Section 2: Referral and Performance Data | | | | | | | | |
| 2.1 | | Clients Referred During the Report Period | | | | | | | | |
| 2.1 | 2.1 | Distinct Clients Referred | 89 | | 69 | | 19 | | 177 | |
| | | | | | _ | | | | 177 | |
| | 2.1 | 2. Distinct Households Referred | 90 | | 69 | | 19 | | 178 | |
| | 2.1 | 3. Duplicated Referrals | 101 | | 74 | | 20 | | 195 | |
| | 2.1 | 4. Avg. Referrals per Client | 1.13 | | 1.07 | | 1.05 | | 1.1 | |
| 2.2 | | Referral Status of Duplicated Referrals Made During Report | | | | | | | | |
| | | Period | | | | | | | | |
| | 2.2 | 1. Unassigned | 77 | 76.24 % | 42 | 56.76 % | 16 | | 135 | 69.23 |
| | 2.2 | 2. Assigned | 2 | 1.98 % | 5 | 6.76 % | 1 | 5.00 % | 8 | 4.10 |
| | 2.2 | 3. Matched | 0 | 0.00 % | 0 | 0.00 % | 0 | 0.00 % | 0 | 0.00 |
| | 2.2 | 4. Placed/Housed | 22 | 21.78 % | 27 | 36.49 % | 3 | 15.00 % | 52 | 26.67 |
| | 2.2 | 5. Pending | 0 | 0.00 % | 0 | 0.00 % | 0 | 0.00 % | 0 | 0.00 |
| | Total | | 101 | 100.00% | 74 | 100.00% | 20 | _ | 195 | |
| 2.3 | Total | Unassigned Reasons from Section 2.2.1 | 101 | 100.0070 | 7.4 | 100.0070 | | 100.0070 | 100 | 100.0 |
| 2.31 | | Category 1: No further referrals will be generated for this VI- | | | | | | | | |
| | | SPDAT | | | | | | | | |
| | 2.31 | Client has obtained housing | 5 | 6.49 % | 1 | 2.38 % | 4 | | 10 | 7.41 |
| | 2.31 | 2. Client is no longer on island | 1 | 1.30 % | 2 | 4.76 % | 0 | 0.00 % | 3 | 2.22 |
| | 2.31 | 3. Client not interested in housing at this time | 3 | 3.90 % | 0 | 0.00 % | 1 | 6.25 % | 4 | 2.96 |
| | 2.31 | 4. Client already matched to other housing resources | 3 | 3.90 % | 1 | 2.38 % | 0 | 0.00 % | 4 | 2.96 |
| | 2.31 | 5. Client confirmed as deceased | 0 | 0.00 % | 0 | 0.00 % | 0 | 0.00 % | 0 | 0.00 |
| | 2.31 | 6. Incarcerated | 0 | 0.00 % | 0 | 0.00 % | 0 | | 0 | 0.00 |
| | Total | on missings rates | 12 | 15.58% | 4 | 9.52% | 5 | | 21 | 15.5 |
| 2.32 | Total | Catagory 2: Clients can be referred again immediately but | 12 | 13.30 /0 | - | 3.32 /0 | | 31.2370 | 21 | 10.5 |
| 2.32 | | Category 2: Clients can be referred again immediately, but not to this program | | | | | | | | |
| | 2.32 | Client expressed safety concerns with this program | 0 | 0.00 % | 0 | 0.00 % | 0 | 0.00 % | 0 | 0.00 |
| | 2.32 | Program denial | 9 | 11.69 % | 0 | 0.00 % | 0 | _ | 9 | 6.67 |
| | | | | | 11 | 26.19 % | 1 | 6.25 % | | |
| | 2.32 | 3. Client declined housing through this program | 18 | 23.38 % | 11 | | 1 | | 30 | |
| | 2.32 Total | 4. Client does not meet program eligibility criteria and does not qualify for this program | 35 | 10.39 % | 1 | 2.38 % | 3 | 18.75 % | 12 | 8.89 |
| 2 22 | Total | Catamany 2. Action is required before client can be reformed | 33 | 45.45% | 12 | 28.57% | 4 | 25.00% | 51 | 37.7 |
| 2.33 | | Category 3: Action is required before client can be referred | | | | | | | | |
| | 2.33 | to any program again 1. Client requires additional documentation | 23 | 29.87 % | 18 | 42.86 % | 2 | 12.50 % | 43 | 31.85 |
| | | · | 23 | | _ | | | _ | _ | |
| | 2.33 | 2. Client unable to be located after multiple communication | О | 7.79 % | 8 | 19.05 % | 5 | 31.25 % | 19 | 14.07 |
| | 2.33 | attempts 3. Client confirmed as hospitalized or in treatment facility for | 0 | 0.00 % | 0 | 0.00 % | 0 | 0.00 % | 0 | 0.00 |
| | | unspecified length of time | | 0.00 /0 | | 0.00 | | 0.00 /0 | | 0.00 |
| | 2.33 | 4. Client has not responded to multiple attempts to contact | 0 | 0.00 % | 0 | 0.00 % | 0 | 0.00 % | 0 | 0.00 |
| | 2.33 | 5. VI-SPDAT is no longer applicable, a new VI-SPDAT is | 0 | 0.00 % | 0 | 0.00 % | 0 | _ | 0 | 0.00 |
| | 2.00 | needed | | 0.00 70 | | 0.00 /0 | | 0.00 /0 | | 0.00 |
| | Total | | 29 | 37.66% | 26 | 61.90% | 7 | 43.75% | 62 | 45.9 |
| 2.34 | | Unassigned Reason - Data Not Collected | | | | | | | | |
| | 2.34 | 1. Data Not Collected | 1 | 1.30 % | 0 | 0.00 % | 0 | 0.00 % | 1 | 0.74 |
| | Total | T. Bala Hot Collected | 1 | 1.30% | 0 | 0.00% | 0 | _ | 1 | 0.7 |
| 2.4 | I Otal | 6 Of the households placed/housed during the report | ' | 1.30 /6 | - 0 | 0.0076 | | 0.0078 | ' | 0.7 |
| 2.4 | | 6. Of the households placed/housed during the report | | | | | | | | |
| | | period, total number of emergency services utilized within 6 months from most recent VI-SPDAT | | | | | | | | |
| | 2.4 | Of the households referred during the report period, mean | 58.67 | | 68.88 | | 67.05 | | 63.55 | |
| | | length in days from VI-SPDAT survey to most recent referral | 00.01 | | 00.00 | | | | | |
| | | (Uses HoH to compute for the household) | | | | | | | | |
| | 2.4 | Total households placed/housed during the report period | 14 | | 16 | | 5 | | 35 | |
| | | (duplicated) | | | | | | | | |
| | 2.4 | 3. Placed/housed households linked to HUD enrollment | 14 | 100.00 % | 14 | 87.50 % | 5 | 100.00 % | 33 | 94.29 |
| | 2.4 | 4. Of the households placed/housed during the report period, | 79.86 | | 92.31 | | 145.6 | | 94.94 | |
| | | mean length in days from VI-SPDAT survey to date | | | | | | | | |
| | | placed/housed | | | | | | | | |
| | 2.4 | 5. Of the households placed/housed during the report period, | 1307.86 | | 1500.81 | | 407 | | 1267.37 | |
| | | mean length in days from 1st Intake date to date placed/housed | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | |
| | 2.4 | 6. Of the households placed/housed during the report period, total number of emergency services utilized within 6 months | 26 | | 104 | | 10 | | 140 | |
| | | | | | | | | | | |





